| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Application No. | Applicatings |
| Notice of Allowability | 10/069,269 | HULBERT ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Raymond S. Dean | 2618 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308. | | |
| 1. This communication is responsive to April 3, 2006. | | |
| 2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1 - 3 and 5 - 12</u> . | | |
| 3. ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the | | |
| International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | |
| * Certified copies not received: | | |
| Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. | | |
| 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient. | | |
| 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted. | | |
| (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached | | |
| 1) 🔲 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date | | |
| (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date | | |
| Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| 6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Attachment(s) | 5 Notice of Informal P | atent Application (PTO-152) |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 6. ☐ Interview Summary | ,, |
| 2. Involice of Draitperson's Faterit Drawing Review (F10-940) | Paper No./Mail Dat | te . |
| 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No./Mail Date | | ment/Comment |
| 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 4. Standard Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material | 8. 🛭 Examiner's Stateme | ent of Reasons for Allowance |
| - | 9. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| • | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/069,269 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Attorney Gary Edwards (Reg. No. 31,824) on June 13, 2006.

Regarding Claim 12, Please remove the semicolon at the end of the word "channel" in line 7 and insert into line 7 after the word "channel" – by each of the base stations transmitting a synchronization signal to the remaining base stations within its transmission range, via said random access channel. --

Allowable Subject Matter

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

It is well established in the art, as revealed in the Examiner's search of the prior art, that a Random Access Channel (RACH) is conventionally used by the mobile station to establish synchronization with a base station. The mobile station transmits synchronization signals on the uplink via the RACH to the base station. The prior art of record, however, does not teach or show said RACH being used to establish synchronization between base stations. The prior art of record does not teach or show

Application/Control Number: 10/069,269

stations to remaining base stations via a RACH. The Wallace reference (US 6,590,881), as detailed in the Office Action dated November 3, 2005, teaches channels being used to enable synchronization signals to be transmitted between base stations but does not teach a RACH. A thorough search by the Examiner rendered prior art that teaches the conventional use of the RACH as set forth above. There is nothing in the Wallace references or the rendered prior art that would teach or suggest why a person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to act contrary to conventional usage, as asserted by the Applicants. The independent Claims 1 and 12 contain the above highlighted limitation and therefore said claims are allowable. Claims 2 – 3, 5, and 11 depend on Claim 1, Claims 6, 8, and 9 depend on Claim 5, Claim 7 depends on Claim 6, and Claim 10 depends on Claim 9 therefore examiner gives same reason as set forth above.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond S. Dean whose telephone number is 571-272-7877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:00-2:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/069,269

Art Unit: 2618

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raymond S. Dean June 13, 2006

EDWARD F. URBAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600